## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION THREE**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

MICHAEL HENRY ANDREA,

Defendant and Appellant.

A102557 (Lake County Super. Ct. No. CF31374.01)

Michael Andrea appeals from a judgment of conviction entered upon a plea of guilty. Appellant's court-appointed counsel has briefed no issues and asks this court to review the record pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.

An amended complaint filed in the consolidated court for Lake County charged appellant with failing to maintain proper registration as a sexual offender (Pen. Code, § 290, subd. (g)(2)),<sup>1</sup> lewd acts upon a child under the age of 14 (§ 288, subd. (a)), lewd acts by force upon a child under the age of 14 (§ 288, subd. (b)(1)), and two misdemeanor counts of annoying or molesting a child under the age of 18 (§ 647.6, subd. (a)).

Appellant pleaded guilty to lewd acts upon a child under the age of 14 (§ 288, subd. (a)), and the court then granted the prosecution's motion to dismiss the remainder of the complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

The court sentenced appellant to the aggravated term of eight years in state prison for lewd acts upon a child under the age of fourteen (§ 288, subd. (a)). The court granted appellant 118 days' total presentence credit and ordered him to pay a \$1,600 restitution fine.

Before appellant entered his plea, the court advised him of the constitutional rights he would be waiving and the direct consequences of his plea. Appellant expressly waived his constitutional rights and knowingly and voluntarily pleaded guilty.

Appellant was represented by counsel throughout the proceedings.

There was no sentencing error.

There are no issues that require further briefing.

The judgment is affirmed.

	Corrigan, Acting P. J.
We concur:	
Parrilli, J.	
Pollak, J.	